Chavis Elementary

2811 S. State Hwy 41-51 Hemingway, South Carolina 29554

Grades PK-6 Elementary School

Enrollment 584 Students

Principal Cynthia M. Brown 843-558-5605

Superintendent Ralph C. Fennell, Jr. 843–355–5571

Board Chair Mrs. Barbara McKenzie 843–382–3980

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

2006 R

ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

ABSOLUTE RATING

BELOW AVERAGE

Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

1 8 21 82 40

IMPROVEMENT RATING

UNSATISFACTORY

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

NO

This school met 16 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

PERFO	RMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR	PERIOD	
	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2003	Average	Unsatisfactory	No
2004	Good	Good	Yes
2005	Average	Below Average	No
2006	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	No

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

PERCENT OF STUDENT PACT RECORDS MATCHED FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTING IMPROVEMENT RATING

Percent of students tested in 2005-06 whose 2004-05 test scores were located.

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT)

96.4%

English/Language Arts **Mathematics** Science **Social Studies** Our School **Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Definition of Critical Terms** Advanced Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations **Proficient** Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations Basic Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level **Below Basic** Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy

determines progress to the next grade level

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRO	UP								
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	% Below Basis	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and	Performance Objecting	Participation Objective Mes
Englis	,	ge Arts -	/	1	Ohiective	= 38.2%	1		
All Students	301	100.0	36.8	48.3	14.2	0.7	26.7	No	Yes
Gender									
Male	153	100.0	47.6	40.1	12.2	0.0	19.7	N/A	N/A
Female	148	100.0	25.5	56.7	16.3	1.4	34.0	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	43	100.0	17.1	61.0	17.1	4.9	29.3	Yes	Yes
African American	251	100.0	40.4	45.4	14.2	0.0	26.7	No	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	7	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	216	100.0	20.7	58.7	19.7	1.0	36.1	N/A	N/A
Disabled	85	100.0	78.8	21.3	0.0	0.0	2.5	No	Yes
Migrant Status		,				,		,	
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	301	100.0	36.8	48.3	14.2	0.7	26.7	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	2	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	299	100.0	36.7	48.3	14.3	0.7	26.9	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	278	100.0	39.2	46.0	14.7	0.0	26.4	No	Yes
Full-pay meals	23	100.0	8.7	73.9	8.7	8.7	30.4	N/A	N/A

N	lathemati	cs - State	Performa	ance Obje	ctive = 36	6.7%			
All Students	301	100.0	32.3	45.8	18.1	3.8	38.9	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	153	100.0	35.4	41.5	19.0	4.1	41.5	N/A	N/A
Female	148	100.0	29.1	50.4	17.0	3.5	36.2	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	43	100.0	12.2	51.2	22.0	14.6	63.4	Yes	Yes
African American	251	100.0	35.8	44.6	17.5	2.1	34.6	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	7	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	216	100.0	16.8	53.4	24.5	5.3	51.4	N/A	N/A
Disabled	85	100.0	72.5	26.3	1.3	0.0	6.3	No	Yes
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	301	100.0	32.3	45.8	18.1	3.8	38.9	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	2	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	299	100.0	32.2	45.8	18.2	3.8	39.2	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	278	100.0	33.6	46.4	17.7	2.3	36.6	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	23	100.0	17.4	39.1	21.7	21.7	65.2	N/A	N/A

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRO)UP						
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	/	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced
All Students	301	Sc 100.0	ience 66.7	25.3	6.9	1.0	8.0
Gender	301	100.0	00.7	20.0	0.9	1.0	0.0
Male	153	100.0	68.0	21.1	8.8	2.0	10.9
Female	148	100.0	65.2	29.8	5.0	0.0	5.0
Racial/Ethnic Group	140	100.0	00.2	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
White	43	100.0	31.7	39.0	24.4	4.9	29.3
African American	251	100.0	71.7	23.8	4.2	0.4	4.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	7	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disability Status							
Not Disabled	216	100.0	56.7	32.7	9.6	1.0	10.6
Disabled	85	100.0	92.5	6.3	0.0	1.3	1.3
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	301	100.0	66.7	25.3	6.9	1.0	8.0
English Proficiency							
Limited English Proficient	2	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	299	100.0	66.4	25.5	7.0	1.0	8.0
Socio-Economic Status							
Subsidized meals	278	100.0	69.1	24.5	5.7	0.8	6.4
Full-pay meals	23	100.0	39.1	34.8	21.7	4.3	26.1

	Social Studies								
All Students	301	100.0	63.5	31.9	4.5	0.0	4.5		
Gender									
Male	153	100.0	63.9	29.9	6.1	0.0	6.1		
Female	148	100.0	63.1	34.0	2.8	0.0	2.8		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	43	100.0	43.9	41.5	14.6	0.0	14.6		
African American	251	100.0	66.7	30.4	2.9	0.0	2.9		
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Hispanic	7	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S		
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	216	100.0	55.3	38.9	5.8	0.0	5.8		
Disabled	85	100.0	85.0	13.8	1.3	0.0	1.3		
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-Migrant	301	100.0	63.5	31.9	4.5	0.0	4.5		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	2	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S		
Non-Limited English Proficient	299	100.0	63.6	31.8	4.5	0.0	4.5		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	278	100.0	66.0	30.9	3.0	0.0	3.0		
Full-pay meals	23	100.0	34.8	43.5	21.7	0.0	21.7		

Onav	ns Element							10/30/00 430 1020
PACT	PERFORM	ANCE BY GRA				— ,	,	.
,	Grade	Enrollment 1 st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced
				English/Lar	nguage Arts			
	3	65	100.0	6.6	39.3	54.1	0.0	54.1
LG	4	81	100.0	16.9	49.3	33.8	0.0	33.8
L8.	5	95	100.0 98.7	27.7	50.6 59.1	21.7 13.6	0.0	21.7
7	6 7	76 N/A	96.7 N/A	27.3 N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0 N/A	13.6 N/A
-	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3	72	100.0	31.9	42.0	24.6	1.4	26.1
	4	62	100.0	23.3	56.7	20.0	0.0	20.0
[ĕ	5	75	100.0	36.6	57.7	5.6	0.0	5.6
-2	6	92	100.0	50.0	39.8	9.1	1.1	10.2
-	7 8	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
-	0	IN/A	IN/A		matics	N/A	IN/A	IN/A
	3	65	100.0	13.1	62.3	13.1	11.5	24.6
- 100	4	81	100.0	11.3	60.6	23.9	4.2	28.2
6	5	95	100.0	8.4	66.3	16.9	8.4	25.3
121	6	76	98.7	19.7	56.1	24.2	0.0	24.2
	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3	72	100.0	24.6	49.3	21.7	4.3	26.1
9	4 5	62 75	100.0 100.0	31.7	40.0 50.7	26.7 8.5	1.7 2.8	28.3 11.3
-8	6	92	100.0	38.0 34.1	43.2	17.0	5.7	22.7
~~	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
-	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
				Scie	ence			
	3	65	100.0	42.6	50.8	4.9	1.6	6.6
LG	4	81	100.0	53.5	33.8	11.3	1.4	12.7
LS	5	95	100.0	51.8	38.6	6.0	3.6	9.6
7	6 7	76 N/A	98.7 N/A	66.7 N/A	25.8 N/A	6.1 N/A	1.5 N/A	7.6 N/A
-	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3	72	100.0	63.8	27.5	8.7	0.0	8.7
	4	62	100.0	48.3	38.3	10.0	3.3	13.3
ĕ	5	75	100.0	74.6	22.5	1.4	1.4	2.8
12	6	92	100.0	75.0	17.0	8.0	0.0	8.0
-	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
-	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3	65	100.0	34.4	Studies 60.7	4.9	0.0	4.9
-	4	81	100.0	26.8	62.0	9.9	1.4	11.3
00	5	95	100.0	55.4	39.8	4.8	0.0	4.8
2	6	76	97.4	55.4	36.9	3.1	4.6	7.7
	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3	72	100.0	42.0	52.2	5.8	0.0	5.8
9	4	62	100.0	50.0	40.0	10.0	0.0	10.0
	5 6	75 92	100.0 100.0	78.9 77.3	19.7 20.5	1.4 2.3	0.0	1.4 2.3
7	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 584)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	100.0%	No change	100.0%	100.0%
Retention rate	7.4%	Up from 6.7%	4.0%	2.8%
Attendance rate	96.6%	Up from 96.2%	96.3%	96.4%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level	0.0%	Down from 23.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level	0.0%	Down from 20.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Eligible for gifted and talented	7.6%	Up from 6.4%	3.9%	10.4%
On academic plans	30.6%	N/AV	49.2%	33.6%
On academic probation	0.0%	N/AV	3.0%	1.0%
With disabilities other than speech	15.5%	Up from 15.2%	7.2%	7.5%
Older than usual for grade	2.7%	Up from 1.2%	1.5%	0.8%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	0.0%	Down from 1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 34)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	55.9% N/AV	Up from 44.1%	51.7% N/AV	53.8% N/AV
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	24.5%	N/A	4.8%	2.4%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	6.3%	Down from 12.1%	2.6%	0.0%
Teachers returning from previous year	90.5%	Up from 75.3%	83.6%	87.3%
Teacher attendance rate	91.8%	Down from 92.8%	94.6%	94.9%
Average teacher salary	\$39,781	Up 2.2%	\$41,385	\$42,485
Prof. development days/teacher	16.3 days	Up from 16.2 days	14.4 days	13.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school	0.5	Down from 3.0	4.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	20.5 to 1	Down from 21.5 to 1	16.4 to 1	18.6 to 1
Prime instructional time	86.9%	Down from 88.2%	88.5%	89.7%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,137	Up 8.6%	\$7,687	\$6,557
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	67.8%	Down from 68.0%	60.8%	64.0%
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	71.6%		68.0%	69.1%
Opportunities in the arts	Poor	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	99.0%	Up from 89.4%	99.0%	99.0%
SACS accreditation	Yes	No change	Yes	Yes
Character development	Good	No change	Good	Excellent

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

		Our District		State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teacher	ers	N/A		6.2%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teach	ers	22.7%		10.2%
	Stat	e Objective	Me	t State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in this school		0.0%		No
Student attendance in this school		94.0%*		Yes

*or greater than last year

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Located in eastern Williamsburg County, Chavis Elementary School educates approximately 600 students in grades 4K through 6. Having completed four years of operation, Chavis Elementary has had a successful merger; we have adopted the motto "One School, One Mission" as we strive to educate our children.

The mission of Chavis Elementary School is to prepare students for the responsibilities of good citizenship and lifelong learning while striving for academic excellence. We will accomplish this by providing an innovative curriculum, relevant resources and a competent staff. The expectation at Chavis Elementary School is that every child will attain his/her highest level of achievement. All students are provided with a safe and nurturing environment that promotes respect for self and others and enhances self-esteem, worth, dignity and self-discipline.

Students, parents, teachers, and community members are actively involved in the learning process, with technology as an integral part of the academic experience.

Cynthia M. Brown, Principal Rebecca McLean, School Improvement Chairperson

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	36	89	66
Percent satisfied with learning environment	77.8%	75.6%	80.3%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	61.1%	67.8%	78.5%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	47.1%	82.0%	81.5%

^{*}Only students at the highest elementary school grade level at this school and their parents were included.